

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/710,814	11/07/2000	Gaffar Mohammed	MOH-103	5351
27014	7590 09/10/2004		EXAMIN	VER
JOHN R. BENEFIEL			FETSUGA, ROBERT M	
280 DAINES ST. #100 B			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BIRMINGHA	AM, MI 48009		3751	
			DATE MAILED: 09/10/2004)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Clo 5
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/710,814	MOHAMMED, GAFFAR
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. In. In reply within the statutory minimum of thirty eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT statute, cause the application to become ABA	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (<u> 18 November 2000</u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicate 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-14 is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	rawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Example 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 08 November 2000 Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the continuous The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ the drawing(s) be held in abeyand prection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in Ap priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9483) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	•)/Mail Date Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/710,814

Art Unit: 3751

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figs. 1-5;

Species II: Fig. 6; and

Species III: Figs. 7 and 8.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 1 is considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant

Application/Control Number: 09/710,814 Page 3

Art Unit: 3751

must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP \$ 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. During a telephone conversation with John R. Benefiel on September 7, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Species I, claims 1-3.

 Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 4-14 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.
- 3. The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "32" apparently should be --31-- in Fig. 2 (pg. 5 ln. 7), reference numeral "52" apparently should be --54-- in Fig. 3 (pg. 5 ln. 10), and reference numeral "108" apparently should be --110-- in Fig. 7 (pg. 7 ln. 2). Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the

Application/Control Number: 09/710,814

Art Unit: 3751

application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Proper antecedent basis for the "lift member" set forth in claim 1 could not be found in the specification. Applicant is reminded claim terminology in

Application/Control Number: 09/710,814

Art Unit: 3751

mechanical cases should appear in the descriptive portion of the specification by reference to the drawing(s).

Page 5

5. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is unclear as to whether the "toilet" is intended to be part of the claimed combination since structure of the "positioner" is defined as being connected thereto (ln. 4), but no positive structural antecedent basis therefor has been defined. Claim 2 is similarly indefinite.

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liu et al.

The Lui et al. reference discloses a positioner comprising: a motor 1 including an output shaft 11; a lift member 26; a toilet seat 25; and a switch SW, as claimed.

Art Unit: 3751

8. Claim 2 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 9. Applicant is referred to MPEP 714.02 and 608.01(o) in responding to this Office action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 703/308-1506 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751